

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
)
 v.) CRIM. CASE NO. 1:21-cr-107-ECM
)
 ANDREW T. NUNEZ)

The Act excludes, however, certain delays from the seventy-day period, including delays based on “findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh

the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.” 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). In determining whether to grant a continuance under § 3161(h)(7), the court “shall consider,” among other factors, whether denial of a continuance would likely “result in a miscarriage of justice,” or “would deny counsel for the defendant . . . the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.” § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

Counsel for the Government represents to the Court that there is a pending motion for a mental evaluation that raises issues with the Defendant’s competency to stand trial. The parties agree that the issue of the Defendant’s competency must be resolved before proceeding. After careful consideration, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting a continuance of this trial outweigh the best interest of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. Thus, for good cause, it is

ORDERED that the motions to continue (doc. 29 and 32) are GRANTED, and jury selection and trial are CONTINUED from July 12, 2021 to the Court’s next Southern Division trial term set to commence on October 4, 2021 at 10:00 a.m. in Montgomery, Alabama. All deadlines tied to the trial date are adjusted accordingly.

The United States Magistrate Judge shall conduct a pretrial conference prior to the October trial term.

Done this 7th day of July, 2021.

/s/Emily C. Marks
EMILY C. MARKS
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE